Basepoint dependence How does 
$$\pi_1(X, x_0)$$
 compare  $\pi_1(X, x_1)$ ?  
For our example S' clearly  $\pi_1(s', z_0)$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_1(s', z_0)$  for any  
point  $[t] \in S'$ .  
What if  $X = S' \amalg p + ?$  That is X is the disjoint union of a circle and a point  
Then  $\pi_1(X, z_0) = \pi_1(s', z_0) = 72$  but  $\pi_1(X, p +) \cong \pi_1(p +, p +) \cong \{e\}$ .  
 $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  only depends on the path component of X that contains X\_0.

What if x & x, are in the same path component? Then  $\pi_1(X, x) \cong \pi_1(X, x) \& every path <math>d: 20,13 \rightarrow X$  with d(0) = x, determines an explicit isomorphism:

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}: \ \widehat{\pi}_{1}(X, \kappa) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(X, \kappa)$$

To define 2 we first need to generalize the constraints operation. Let  $Y: [a,1] \rightarrow X \quad \& \quad B: [a,1] \rightarrow X$ be patted with  $Y(a) \in B(a)$ . Then  $Y \neq B(d) = \begin{cases} Y(ab) & t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ B(ab-1) & t > \frac{1}{2} \\ \end{cases}$   $Y(a) \quad Xd = Ra$   $Y(a) \quad Xd = Ra$   $Y \neq B(d) \quad Xd = Ra$  Y = Ra 

Back to 
$$\alpha$$
:  
Define  $\overline{\alpha}(\epsilon) = \alpha(\epsilon, \epsilon)$   $\widehat{\alpha} : \pi_i(\chi, \chi_i) \rightarrow \pi_i(\chi, \chi_i)$  by  
 $\widehat{\alpha}(\epsilon, \chi_i) = [\overline{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac$ 

3. Use general fact asain: 
$$f \in T$$
,  $(X, X)$  then  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{Q}(LFS)) = \hat{\mathcal{B}}([\overline{\mathcal{Q}} \times f \times \alpha S])$   
=  $[\overline{\mathcal{O}} \times \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \times f \times \alpha \times \beta]$   
=  $[\alpha \times \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \times f \times \alpha \times \beta]$   
=  $[\alpha \times \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \times f \times \alpha \times \beta]$   
=  $[\alpha \times \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \times f \times \alpha \times \beta]$ 

FACTS A BOUT CO UC ATENATION

- X, # X2 # ..... + Xy
- 1. order of carcitection isn't inportant. Order doesn't path honotopy dass
- 2. If di pdi the di x--- aix--- \* an ~ p a. \* --- ai\* -- ai









INDUCED HOMOMORPHISMS Let  $h: (X, x) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  be continuous. We define a honomorphism  $h_x: \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, y_0)$  by  $h_x(Lf3) = [hof3].$ 

Again need to check that he is a well defined map and that he is a homomorphism. Again use a general fact: If highly =) hofs ~ phof.. This implies that he is a well defined Map. To see that he is a homomorphism we observe that  $[h_{*}(f_{0})] \cdot [h_{*}(f_{1})] = [hof_{0} * hof_{1}] = [ho(f_{0} * f_{1})] = h_{*}(If_{0}) \cdot [f_{1}])$ 

LEMMA Given 
$$h: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0) \land g: (Y, y_0) \rightarrow (Z, z_0)$$
 we have  
 $g_* \circ h_* = (g \circ h)_*$ .

(KOOF) The proof is tormal:  

$$g_* \circ h_* (Lfs) = g_*(Lfofs) = [g_0 h_0 f] = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$$
  
 $h_1 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_0 f) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_0 f) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_0 f) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_0 f) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_0 f) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_0 f) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_0 f) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_0 f) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_0 f) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_2 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_3 = ef(g_1) = (g_0 h_1)_* ([fs]).$   
 $h_4 = h_4 is an is a home or morphism.$   
 $h_4 = h_4 is a home or morphism.$   
 $h_4 = h_4 is a home or morphism.$ 

$$h^{-1}: (Y_{1} y_{0}) \longrightarrow (X_{1} x_{0}).$$
  
In particular  $h^{-1} \cdot h^{-1} \cdot d_{X} \ge h \cdot h^{-1} = i d_{Y}.$   
For the identity thup the induced map on  $T_{1}$  is also the identity since  
 $(i d_{X})_{X}(T) = [i d_{X} \circ f] = [f].$   
Therefore  $(h^{-1})_{X} \circ h_{X} = i d \ge sinilorly$   $h_{Y} \circ (h^{-1})_{X} = i d \Longrightarrow$   $h_{X}$  is an  
isomorphism.

