

1.3.5; Suppose A is a symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 > \lambda_3 \geq \dots$. Show that

$$\max_{\langle u, v \rangle = 0} \langle Au, u \rangle + \langle Av, v \rangle = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$

where $\|u\| = \|v\| = 1$.

Use Lagrange multipliers and look for extremals of

$$P(u, v) = \langle Au, u \rangle + \langle Av, v \rangle + \mu_1(\langle u, u \rangle - 1) + \mu_2(\langle v, v \rangle - 1) + \mu_3|\langle u, v \rangle|^2$$

Differentiate $P(u, v)$ with respect to parameters μ_1, μ_2 , and μ_3 and set the derivatives to zero to learn (as expected) that $\|u\|^2 = \|v\|^2 = 1$, and $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$. Now set $u = u_0 + h, v = v_0 + g$, expand $P(u, v)$ and require that the linear terms vanish. That is, require

$$\operatorname{Re} (\langle Au + \mu_1 u, h \rangle + \langle Av + \mu_2 v, g \rangle) = 0$$

for all g, h . It follows that we must have

$$Au + \mu_1 u = 0, \quad Av + \mu_2 v = 0.$$

In other words, u and v must be eigenvectors for A .

Now suppose that the normalized eigenvectors of A are $\{\phi_i\}$ with corresponding eigenvalues λ_i . Since we know that u and v must be eigenvectors, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\langle u, v \rangle = 0} \langle Au, u \rangle + \langle Av, v \rangle &= \max_{i \neq j} \langle A\phi_i, \phi_i \rangle + \langle A\phi_j, \phi_j \rangle \\ &= \max_{i \neq j} \lambda_i + \lambda_j \\ &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \end{aligned}$$

■

2.2.21; Verify that the wavelet generated by the sinc function is

$$W(x) = \operatorname{sinc}\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) - 2 \operatorname{sinc}(2x - 1).$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sinc}(x) &= \sum_k \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \operatorname{sinc}(2x - k) \\ &= \operatorname{sinc}(2x) + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \operatorname{sinc}(2x - k) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{k \text{ odd}} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \operatorname{sinc}(2x - k) = \operatorname{sinc}(x) - \operatorname{sinc}(2x)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= \sum_k (-1)^k \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right) \operatorname{sinc}(2x - k) \\ &= \sum_k (-1)^{k+1} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \operatorname{sinc}(2x - 1 - k) \\ &= -\operatorname{sinc}(2x - 1) + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \operatorname{sinc}(2x - 1 - k) \\ &= -2 \operatorname{sinc}(2x - 1) + \operatorname{sinc}\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

■

8.3.15 (A new problem) Under what conditions is the interchange $\frac{d}{dt} \int_a^b u(x, t) dx = \int_a^b \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx$ valid?

Answer: Use Fubini's theorem to show that the interchange is valid if $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ is absolutely integrable.