Math 3220 § 1. Treibergs  $\sigma t$ 

Second Midterm Exam

Name: <u>Solutions</u> March 3, 2005

(1.) Consider the subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  given by

$$E = \left\{ (x, y) : x < 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \left(\frac{2}{3}, 0\right), \left(\frac{3}{4}, 0\right), \ldots \right\}.$$

(a.) Find  $E^{\circ}$ .

 $E^{\circ} = \{(x, y) : x < 0\}$ . For the other points  $(\frac{1}{n}, 0) \in E$ , for no r > 0 is  $B_r((\frac{1}{n}, 0)) \subseteq E$ . (b.) Find  $\overline{E}$ .

 $\overline{E} = \{(x, y) : x \le 0\} \cup \{(\frac{1}{2}, 0), (\frac{2}{3}, 0), (\frac{3}{4}, 0), \dots\} \cup \{(1, 0)\}.$  These are points  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{R}^2$  such that for all  $r > 0, B_r(\mathbf{z}) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ .

(c.) Find  $\partial E$ .

 $\partial E = \{(0,y) : y \in \mathbf{R}\} \cup \{(\frac{1}{2},0),(\frac{2}{3},0),(\frac{3}{4},0),\ldots\} \cup \{(1,0)\}.$  These are points  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{R}^2$  such that for all r > 0,  $B_r(\mathbf{z}) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  and  $B_r(\mathbf{z}) \cap E^c \neq \emptyset$ .

(d.) Determine whether E is connected.

*E* is not connected. Consider the open sets  $U = \{(x, y) : x < 0\}$  and  $V = \{(x, y) : \frac{1}{4} < x\}$ . Then  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ,  $U \cap E \neq \emptyset$ ,  $V \cap E \neq \emptyset$  and  $E \subseteq U \cup V$ , so *U* and *V* disconnect *E*.

(2.) Define:  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  is an open set. Let  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  be a vector with unit length  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$  and  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ . Using the definition, show that  $\mathcal{H}$  is open, where  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} > c\}$ 

Definition:  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  is open if for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{H}$ , there is r > 0 so that  $B_r(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ .

The set  $\mathcal{H}$  is an open halfplane. For any point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{H}$ , the largest ball about  $\mathbf{x}$  which is still in  $\mathcal{H}$  has a radius equal to the distance from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\partial \mathcal{H}$ , namely, let  $\varepsilon = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} - c$  which is positive because being in  $\mathcal{H}$  means  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} > c$ . I claim that  $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ . To see this, we choose  $\mathbf{z} \in B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x})$ to show that  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{H}$ . This follows from and the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality:

 $\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ge \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{v}\| > \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \varepsilon \cdot 1 = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} - c) = c.$ 

(3.) Suppose that  $\{\mathbf{b}_k\}_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$  is a bounded sequence and  $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$  converges to zero in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Show that the sequence of cross products converges and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} (\mathbf{b}_k \times \mathbf{x}_k) = \mathbf{0}$ .

The argument follows the  $\mathbf{R}^1$  proof for products, except that we use the inequality  $\|\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{b}\| = |\sin \theta| \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{b}\| \le \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{b}\|$ , where  $\theta = \angle (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x})$  is the angle between the vectors.

We are given that the sequence  $\{\mathbf{b}_k\}$  is bounded. Thus, there is an  $M < \infty$  so that  $\|\mathbf{b}_k\| \leq M$ for all k. We are also given that  $\mathbf{x}_k \to \mathbf{0}$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Thus, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  so that for all  $k \geq N$  we have  $\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{0}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{1+M}$ . For this same N we have for all  $k \geq N$ , using the inequality,

$$\|\mathbf{b}_k \times \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{0}\| = \|\mathbf{b}_k \times \mathbf{x}_k\| \le \|\mathbf{b}_k\| \|\mathbf{x}_k\| \le M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{1+M} < \varepsilon.$$

Thus we have shown that  $\mathbf{b}_k \times \mathbf{x}_k \to \mathbf{0}$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

(4.) Determine whether the statement is true or false.

(a.) **Statement.** Let  $A, B \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ . If A is closed and B is open then  $A \setminus B$  is closed.

TRUE! Since B is open, the complement  $B^c$  is closed. However  $A \setminus B = A \cap B^c$  is closed because it is the intersection of closed sets.

(b.) **Statement.** If a set  $E \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  is not closed then it equals its own interior  $E = E^\circ$ .

FALSE! The set  $E = (0,1] \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is not closed because it does not contain one of its limit points:  $\frac{1}{n} \in E$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\frac{1}{n} \to 0$ , a limit point of E as  $n \to \infty$ , but  $0 \notin E$ . However, the interior is  $E^{\circ} = (0,1) \neq E$ .

(c.) **Statement.** Suppose  $E \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  has connected closure and connected boundary. Then E is connected.

FALSE! Consider the set  $E = \mathbf{Q} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , the set of rational numbers. The closure  $\overline{E} = \mathbf{R}$  and the boundary  $\partial E = \mathbf{R}$  so both are connected, as they are intervals of  $\mathbf{R}$ , but  $U = \{x : x < \sqrt{2}\}$ 

and  $V = \{x : x > \sqrt{2}\}$  disconnect E: U and V are open sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ,  $U \cap E \neq \emptyset$ ,  $V \cap E \neq \emptyset$  and  $E \subseteq U \cup V$ .

(5.) Suppose that a subset  $A \subseteq E \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ . Show that A is relatively open in E if and only if the condition (O.N.) holds:

$$(\forall \mathbf{x} \in A) (\exists G \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n : G \text{ is an open set }) (\mathbf{x} \in G \cap E \subseteq A).$$
 O.N.

A subset A is relatively open in E if there is an open set  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $A = \mathcal{O} \cap E$ . Being relatively open trivially implies the condition (O.N.) If A is relatively open, let  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  be the open set such that  $A = \mathcal{O} \cap E$ . Then for every  $\mathbf{x} \in A$ , we may take  $G = \mathcal{O}$ , because then  $\mathbf{x} \in A = G \cap E \subseteq E$  satisfies the condition (O.N.)

Now to show that the condition (O.N.) implies that A is relatively open, we have to construct an open set  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  so that  $A = \mathcal{G} \cap E$ . For each  $\mathbf{x} \in A$  let  $G_{\mathbf{x}} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  be the open set in the definition of (O.N.), such that  $\mathbf{x} \in G_{\mathbf{x}} \cap E \subseteq A$ . Let  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \in A} G_{\mathbf{x}}$ . As this is the union of open sets,  $\mathcal{G}$  is also open. With this set, I claim that A is relatively open, namely  $A = \mathcal{G} \cap E$ . To see " $\supseteq$ ," suppose that  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{G} \cap E$ . This means that there is an  $\mathbf{x} \in A$  so that  $\mathbf{y} \in G_{\mathbf{x}}$ . But by the construction of  $G_{\mathbf{x}}$  and since  $\mathbf{y} \in E$  we have  $\mathbf{y} \in G_{\mathbf{x}} \cap E \subseteq A$ . To see " $\subseteq$ ," choose  $\mathbf{z} \in A$ . Again, by the construction of  $G_{\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\mathbf{z} \in G_{\mathbf{z}} \cap E \subseteq \mathcal{G} \cap E$ , as  $\mathcal{G}$  is the union of such sets.