

1. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$ be the set of functions from the natural numbers to the natural numbers. Determine whether \mathcal{F} is countable or uncountable. Why? Let A and B be sets. Define $\text{card } A \leq \text{card } B$. Let A and B be sets and $f : A \rightarrow B$ be onto. Show $\text{card } A \geq \text{card } B$.

\mathcal{F} is uncountable by a Cantor type diagonal argument. Argue by contradiction. If \mathcal{F} were countable, we could enumerate $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots\}$. However the function $g(n) = f_n(n) + 1$ maps \mathbb{N} to itself but is not in the list because g differs from each f_n since $g(n) \neq f_n(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is a contradiction since the enumeration failed to list all members of \mathcal{F} . Thus \mathcal{F} could not have been countable.

We say $\text{card } A \leq \text{card } B$ if there is a one-to-one function $h : A \rightarrow B$.

If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is onto then for each $b \in B$ the preimage set $f^{-1}(\{b\})$ is nonempty. By the axiom of choice, we may choose an element $h(b) \in f^{-1}(\{b\})$. Now the function $h : B \rightarrow A$ is one-to-one, so by the definition, $\text{card } B \leq \text{card } A$.

2. Let $\Gamma = \{0.a_1a_2a_3\dots(\text{base } 10) : a_i \in \{0, 9\}\}$ be the set of decimal fractions whose digits a_n are only 0's or 9's. Write Γ as the countable intersection of closed sets of intervals. Determine whether Γ has measure zero. Determine whether Γ is countable or uncountable. Is the given $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ onto? Is f nondecreasing? Is f continuous?

$$f(0.a_1a_2a_3\dots(\text{base } 10)) = \left(0.\frac{a_1}{9}\frac{a_2}{9}\frac{a_3}{9}\dots(\text{base } 2)\right).$$

Γ is like the Cantor set Δ , except it is defined by decimals and not ternary fractions. If Γ_n denotes the points where the first n digits are 0's or 9's, then $\Gamma = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n$. Note that

$$0.0999\dots(\text{base } 10) = 0.1000\dots(\text{base } 10)$$

If the first digit a_1 of x is anything other than 0 or 9 then

$$0.0999\dots(\text{base } 10) = 0.1 \leq x = 0.a_1a_2a_3\dots(\text{base } 10) \leq 0.8999\dots(\text{base } 10) = .9(\text{base } 10)$$

In other words except for $x = .1$ and $x = .9$, x is in the interval $(.1, .9)$ which is not in Γ . Similarly if y is not $.01$, $.09$, $.91$ nor $.99$, and the first digit is 0 or 9 and the second digit is not 0 nor 9 then

$$y \in (.01, .19) \cup (.90, .99).$$

which is also not in Γ . Thus we have Γ is the intersection of the closed sets Γ_n consisting of 2^n intervals, each of length 10^{-n} . Γ_{n+1} is obtained from Γ_n by removing the middle open interval which is 0.8 of length of the intervals of Γ_n .

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= [0, .1] \cup [0.9, 1] \\ \Gamma_2 &= [0, .01] \cup [0.09, .1] \cup [0.9, .91] \cup [0.99, 1] \\ \Gamma_3 &= [0, .001] \cup [0.009, .01] \cup [0.09, .091] \cup [0.099, .1] \cup [0.9, 0.901] \cup [0.909, 0.91] \cup [0.99, .991] \cup [0.999, 1] \end{aligned}$$

To see that Γ has measure zero, it suffices to find a countable collection of open intervals that contains Γ and has total length less than any $\epsilon > 0$. Note that Γ_n is covered by a U_n consisting of 2^n open intervals of length $2 \cdot 10^{-n}$ which have total length

$$2^n \cdot 2 \cdot 10^{-n} = 2 \cdot 5^{-n}.$$

This is less than ϵ by taking n large. For such n , $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_n \subset U_n$ whose total length is less than ϵ . Thus Γ has measure zero.

Γ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set \mathcal{S} of sequences of ones and zeros, thus is uncountable. If $s = (s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots)$ is such a sequence, then the correspondence $h : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is given by

$$h(s) = 0.(9s_1)(9s_2)(9s_3) \dots \text{(base 10)}.$$

The function f is similar to the Cantor function. f is nondecreasing because it preserves order from decimal to the binary expansions. It is onto because each $y \in [0, 1]$ has a binary expansion $y = 0.s_1s_2s_3 \dots$ (base 2) which is the image of f , namely $y = f(h((s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots)))$. This also shows that if y does not have a unique binary representation, one ending in $y = \dots 1000 \dots$ and the other ending in $y = \dots 0111 \dots$ then it is the image of two numbers $y = f(\dots 9000 \dots) = f(\dots 0999 \dots) = f(\dots 1000 \dots)$, which are the endpoints of an excluded open interval of Γ . Extending f to all of $[0, 1]$ by making it constant on excluded intervals yields a nondecreasing and onto function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, which must be continuous since it makes no jumps as it is onto. The restriction of f to Γ yields a continuous function on Γ .

3. Determine whether the following statements are true or false. If true, give a proof. If false, give a counterexample.

(a) STATEMENT: The function $d(x, y) = |x^2 - y^2|$ is a metric on the real numbers.

FALSE. The positive definite condition for metrics is $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$. But here, $d(3, -3) = |3^2 - (-3)^2| = 0$ so the positive definite condition for metrics fails.

(b) [7] STATEMENT: Let ℓ_∞ denote the space of bounded real sequences. Then

$$\|(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)\| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i| \text{ is a norm on } \ell_\infty.$$

TRUE. The three conditions for a norm hold: For any $x, y \in \ell_\infty$,

i. $\|x\| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i| \in [0, \infty)$;

ii. For $a \in \mathbf{R}$, $\|ax\| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |ax_i| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |a||x_i| = |a| \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i| = |a| \|x\|$;

iii. $\|x+y\| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i+y_i| \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (|x_i|+|y_i|) \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i| + \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |y_i| = \|x\| + \|y\|$.

(c) STATEMENT: Let \mathbb{V} be a real vector space with inner product $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$, $\xi \in \mathbb{V}$ a nonzero vector and $r > 0$. Then there is only one vector that maximizes the function $f(y) = \langle y, \xi \rangle$ among vectors that satisfy $\|y\| \leq r$.

TRUE. By the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, for any $y \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $\|y\| \leq r$ we have

$$f(y) = \langle y, \xi \rangle \leq |\langle y, \xi \rangle| \leq \|y\| \|\xi\| \leq r \|\xi\|.$$

If there were such y where $f(y)$ takes its limiting value, we would have equality in the Schwarz inequality which implies $y = k\xi$ for some $k \in \mathbf{R}$. In fact $f(k\xi) = k\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = k\|\xi\|^2 = r\|\xi\|$ takes its limiting value if $k = r/\|\xi\|$. Thus this $y = k\xi$ is the unique maximizer.

4. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{(a_i) : a_i \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (a_i) \text{ is a Cauchy Sequence}\}$ be the set of Cauchy sequences of rationals and $\mathcal{N} = \{(a_i) : a_i \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty\}$ the set of null sequences of rationals. Consider the quotient space $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{N}$ where the Cauchy sequences (a_i) and (b_i) are equivalent if $(a_i - b_i) \in \mathcal{N}$. Give the definition of multiplication “ \times ” on \mathcal{R} . Show that $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$ implies that $x \times y \in \mathcal{R}$. Check that $x \times y$ is well defined.

Let $x = [(a_i)]$ and $y = [(b_i)]$ be two equivalence classes in \mathcal{R} . Multiplication is defined componentwise

$$x \times y = [(a_i)] \times [(b_i)] = [(a_i b_i)].$$

$(a_i b_i)$ is a Cauchy sequence so that $x \times y \in \mathcal{R}$. To see it, recall that Cauchy sequences are bounded: there are rational $M_1, M_2 < \infty$ such that

$$|a_i| \leq M_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |b_i| \leq M_2 \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Choose rational $\varepsilon > 0$. Since (a_i) and (b_i) are Cauchy sequences, there are $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_i - a_j| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_2 + 1} \quad \text{whenever } i, j \geq N_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |b_i - b_j| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_1 + 1} \quad \text{whenever } i, j \geq N_1.$$

Let $N_3 = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. For any $i, j \geq N_3$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |a_i b_i - a_j b_j| &= |a_i b_i - a_i b_j + a_i b_j - a_j b_j| \\ &= |a_i(b_i - b_j) + (a_i - a_j)b_j| \\ &\leq |a_i| |b_i - b_j| + |b_j| |a_i - a_j| \\ &\leq M_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_2 + 1} + M_2 \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_1 + 1} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(a_i b_i)$ is a Cauchy sequence of rationals as claimed.

Choose equivalent Cauchy sequences $(a'_i) \sim (a_i)$ and $(b'_i) \sim (b_i)$ so that $a'_i - a_i \rightarrow 0$ and $b'_i - b_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. To show that multiplication is well defined we claim $(a'_i b'_i) \sim (a_i b_i)$. Using the fact if (p_i) is bounded and $q_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ implies $p_i q_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we have from the boundedness of Cauchy sequences, as $i \rightarrow \infty$,

$$a'_i b'_i - a_i b_i = a'_i b'_i - a'_i b_i + a'_i b_i - a_i b_i = a'_i (b'_i - b_i) + b_i (a'_i - a_i) \rightarrow 0 + 0.$$

Thus multiplication doesn't depend on representatives so is well defined.

5. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{N}$ with "+" and "×" as in Problem 4 and let $[(a_i)], [(b_i)], [(c_i)] \in \mathcal{R}$. What is the definition of $[(a_i)] > [(b_i)]$? Suppose that $[(a_i)] > [(b_i)]$ and $[(c_i)] > 0$. Show using your definition that $[(a_i)] \times [(c_i)] > [(b_i)] \times [(c_i)]$.

The definition of ordering $[(a_i)] > [(b_i)]$ is that there is a rational $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$a_i - b_i > \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{whenever } i \geq N_1.$$

Similarly, $[(c_i)] > 0^* = [(\bar{0})]$ means there is a rational $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$c_i > \varepsilon_2 \quad \text{whenever } i \geq N_2.$$

Let $N_3 = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Then

$$(a_i c_i) - (b_i c_i) = (a_i - b_i) c_i > \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \quad \text{whenever } i \geq N_3.$$

Thus by definition, $[(a_i)] \times [(c_i)] = [(a_i c_i)] > [(b_i c_i)] = [(b_i)] \times [(c_i)]$.