

1. State the Contraction Mapping Theorem. Let $X = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n) : \|\varphi\|_\infty < \infty\}$ be the space of continuous maps. X is complete under the sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Suppose for some $r \in (0, 1)$ the map $a \in X$ satisfies $|a(x) - a(y)| \leq r|x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Let $F(x) = x + a(x)$. Show that F has a unique inverse map for the form $G(y) = y + b(y)$ where $b \in X$. [Hint: Solve $I = F \circ G$ for b , where $I(y) = y$ is the identity map.]

Contraction Mapping Theorem. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map such that for some $r \in (0, 1)$,

$$d(f(x) - f(y)) \leq rd(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then there is a unique fixed point $z \in X$ such that $z = f(z)$.

We argue that $F(x) = x + a(x)$ is invertible. It is injective because for any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ we have

$$|F(x) - F(y)| = |x + a(x) - y - a(y)| \geq |x - y| - |a(x) - a(y)| \geq |x - y| - r|x - y| = (1 - r)|x - y|$$

Thus $F(x) = F(y)$ implies $x = y$ so F is injective.

Now we show that F is surjective by constructing a right inverse of the form $G(y) = y + b(y)$ where $b \in X$. Thus we substitute in the equation $I = F \circ G$ to get

$$y = F(G(y)) = G(y) + a(G(y)) = y + b(y) + a(y + b(y))$$

or

$$b(y) = -a(y + b(y)) = T[b](y).$$

The solution is a fixed point of the Nemitskii operator T . If $b \in X$ then $T[b]$ is continuous because it is the composition of continuous functions and $T : X \rightarrow X$ because for all $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$|T[b](y)| \leq \|a\|_\infty$$

so $T[b]$ is bounded. We claim that T is a contraction on X . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |T[b_1](y) - T[b_2](y)| &= | -a(y + b_1(y)) + a(y + b_2(y)) | \leq r|y + b_1(y) - y - b_2(y)| \\ &= r|b_1(y) - b_2(y)| \leq r\|b_1 - b_2\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ gives

$$\|T[b_1] - T[b_2]\|_\infty \leq r\|b_1 - b_2\|_\infty,$$

so T is a contraction on the complete space X . Hence it has a unique fixed point $b \in X$ and $G(y) = y + b(y)$ exists. This proves the map F is surjective. Indeed, for any $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ we have $x = G(y) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ so that $F(x) = F(G(y)) = y$. Thus F is surjective and so there is an inverse map $F^{-1} : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$. Finally, we check that G is the inverse. Indeed, for every y we have

$$F(F^{-1}(y)) = y = F(G(y)).$$

Since F is injective, $F^{-1}(y) = G(y)$.

Though not part of the proof, here is how to see that X is complete. Suppose $\{f_n\} \subset X$ is a Cauchy sequence. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|f_m - f_n\|_\infty < \epsilon \quad \text{whenever } m, n \geq N.$$

This implies that at any point $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \epsilon \quad \text{whenever } m, n \geq N.$$

Hence $\{f_n(x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbf{R}^n , thus convergent $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As f_n is continuous and the convergence $f_n \rightarrow f$ is uniform in \mathbf{R}^n , we conclude that f is continuous. Finally, taking $\epsilon = 1$ we fix n sufficiently large so that $\|f_n - f\| < \epsilon$ which implies

$$\|f\|_\infty = \|(f - f_n) + f_n\|_\infty \leq \|f - f_n\|_\infty + \|f_n\|_\infty \leq 1 + \|f_n\|_\infty < \infty$$

so f is bounded.

2. For a continuous, 2π -periodic function f , the N th Fourier polynomial is

$$S_N f(x) = \sum_{-N}^N c_k e^{ikx}, \quad \text{where} \quad c_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{-ikt} dt.$$

Define the Dirichlet Kernel, $D_N(t)$. Write $S_N f(x)$ in terms of $D_N(t)$. Assume that f is a continuously differentiable 2π -periodic function which in a $\delta > 0$ neighborhood of $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ equals for some constants α and β ,

$$f(t) = \alpha + \beta \sin\left(\frac{t - x_0}{2}\right) \quad \text{if } |x_0 - t| < \delta.$$

Show that $S_N f(x_0) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

The Dirichlet kernel is

$$D_N(t) = \sum_{k=-N}^N e^{ikt} = \frac{\sin\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)}.$$

Thus the Fourier polynomial

$$S_N f(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k e^{ikx} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-t) D_N(t) dt.$$

Let x_0 and $\delta > 0$ be as in the problem. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_N f(x_0) - f(x_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [f(x_0 - t) - f(x_0)] D_N(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) \sin\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)t dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{|t| < \delta} + \int_{t=\delta}^{\pi} + \int_{t=-\pi}^{-\delta} \right) g(t) \sin\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)t dt \\ &= I + II + III, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(t) = \frac{f(x_0 - t) - f(x_0)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)}.$$

When $|t| < \delta$ we have

$$g(t) = \frac{\alpha + \beta \sin\left(\frac{(x_0 - t) - x_0}{2}\right) - \alpha - \beta \sin\left(\frac{x_0 - x_0}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} = -\beta$$

so that

$$|I| = \left| -\frac{\beta}{2\pi} \int_{|t| < \delta} \sin\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right) t dt \right| = 0$$

because the integrand is an odd function. When $|t| \geq \delta$ we don't divide by zero so g is continuously differentiable and

$$g'(t) = \frac{-f'(x_0 - t)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} - \frac{[f(x_0 - t) - f(x_0)] \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)}{2 \sin^2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)}.$$

By the mean value theorem $f(x_0 - t) - f(x_0) = -f'(c)t$ where $c(t)$ is between x_0 and $x_0 - t$

$$g(t) = \frac{-f'(c)t}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)}$$

$$g'(t) = \frac{-f'(x_0 - t)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} + \frac{f'(c)t \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)}{2 \sin^2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)}$$

Using $\sin t/2 \geq t/\pi$ is increasing on $[\delta, \pi]$,

$$|g(t)| \leq \pi \|f'\|$$

$$|g'(t)| \leq \frac{\|f'\|}{\sin\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} + \frac{\pi \|f'\|}{2 \sin\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}$$

Integrating by parts,

$$II = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t=\delta}^{\pi} g'(t) \frac{\cos\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right) t}{N + \frac{1}{2}} dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[g(t) \frac{\cos\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right) t}{N + \frac{1}{2}} \right]_{t=\delta}^{\pi}$$

With a similar estimate for III ,

$$|II| + |III| \leq \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \|f'\|}{\sin\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right) \left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)} + \frac{\|f'\|}{N + \frac{1}{2}}$$

Hence

$$|S_N f(x_0) - f(x_0)| \leq |I| + |II| + |III| = \mathbf{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Alternately, we may use the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma. Since g is continuous on $[\delta, \pi]$, we see that

$$II = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} g(t) \sin\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right) t dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly for III . Thus $S_N f(x_0) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ but at an unknown rate as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Determine whether the following statements are true or false. If true, give a proof. If false, give a counterexample. In all problems, let (X, d) be a complete metric space.

- (a) Let $(\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^n), h)$ be the compact subsets of \mathbf{R}^n with Hausdorff metric. Let $\{c_n\} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a sequence such that $c_n \rightarrow c$ and $\{r_n\}$ be a real sequence such that $r_n \geq 0$ and $r_n \rightarrow r$. Then the sequence of closed balls $\bar{B}_n \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ with center c_n and radius r_n converges in $(\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^n), h)$.

TRUE. Observe that because $\bar{B}(c_\ell, r_\ell) \subset (\bar{B}(c_\ell, r_k))_{|r_\ell - r_k|} \subset ((\bar{B}(c_k, r_k))_{|c_\ell - c_k|})_{|r_\ell - r_k|} = (\bar{B}(c_k, r_k))_{|c_\ell - c_k| + |r_\ell - r_k|}$, each ball is in the $|c_\ell - c_k| + |r_\ell - r_k|$ collar of the other

$$\bar{B}_\ell \subset (\bar{B}_k)_{|c_\ell - c_k| + |r_\ell - r_k|}, \quad \bar{B}_k \subset (\bar{B}_\ell)_{|c_\ell - c_k| + |r_\ell - r_k|}$$

so $h(\bar{B}_k, \bar{B}_\ell) \leq |c_\ell - c_k| + |r_\ell - r_k|$. Hence $\{\bar{B}_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^n), h)$ because $\{c_k\} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\{r_k\} \subset \mathbf{R}$ are Cauchy sequences. But $(\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^n), h)$ is complete so $\{\bar{B}_k\}$ is convergent.

- (b) Suppose $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies $d(f(x), f(y)) < d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then f has a fixed point: there is $z \in X$ such that $z = f(z)$.

FALSE. The map is not assumed to be r -Lipschitz for some $r \in (0, 1)$ so the Contraction Mapping Theorem does not apply. Any differentiable function $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ will provide a counterexample provided $f(x) > x$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$ so f has no fixed point and provided $|f'(x)| < 1$ everywhere so by the Mean Value Theorem, if $x \neq y$ then $|f(x) - f(y)| = |f'(c)||x - y| < |x - y|$ where c is some number between x and y . An example is

$$f(x) = \sqrt{1 + x^2}.$$

- (c) Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}(M)$ be an algebra of continuous real functions on compact $M \subset X$ such that for every $x, y \in M$ there is $g \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $g(x) \neq g(y)$. Then any continuous function on M may be uniformly approximated by functions of \mathcal{A} .

FALSE. The algebra also needs functions that are nonzero at any given point for the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem to apply. So for example, consider the polynomials without constant term $\mathcal{A} = \{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k x^k : c_k \in \mathbf{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on $[-1, 1]$. Then $f(x) = 1$ cannot be approximated in sup-norm because for every $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\|f - \psi\|_\infty \geq |f(0) - \psi(0)| = 1$.

4. The Fourier Series for the sawtooth function $\varphi(x) = |x|$ on the interval $|x| \leq \pi$ and extended to \mathbf{R} by periodicity of period 2π is

$$\varphi(x) \sim \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2k-1)x}{(2k-1)^2}. \quad (1)$$

Let $X = \mathcal{C}_{\text{per}}([-\pi, \pi])$ be the 2π -periodic continuous functions on \mathbf{R} . Define the usual complex inner product $\langle f, g \rangle$ and corresponding distance $\|f - g\|$ on X . Let $V_n = \{\sum_{-n}^n c_k e^{ikx} : c_k \in \mathbf{C}\}$ be the linear subspace of trig polynomials of degree n . Let $P_{V_n} : X \rightarrow V_n$ be the orthogonal projection to V_n . Find $P_{V_n}[\varphi]$ and explain. What is the distance $\|\varphi - P_{V_n}[\varphi]\|$? Do the $P_{V_n}[\varphi]$ approximate φ in $\|\bullet\|$?

The inner product and norm on X are

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)\bar{g}(t) dt, \quad \|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}.$$

The $\psi_k(x) = e^{ikx}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are an orthonormal set of functions. The projection to $V_N = \text{span}\{e^{-iNx}, e^{-i(N-1)x}, \dots, e^{iNx}\}$ is given by the Fourier polynomial

$$P_N(f) = \sum_{k=-N}^N \langle f, \psi_k \rangle \psi_k(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k e^{ikx} = S_N f(x)$$

where

$$c_k = \langle f, \psi_k \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{-ikt} dt.$$

In the present case, for $N \geq 1$ and using $\cos \theta = \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})$,

$$S_{2N}\varphi(x) - S_{2N-1}\varphi(x) = \frac{2\pi}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} \left(e^{i(2k-1)x} + e^{-i(2k-1)x} \right).$$

so for $k > 0$,

$$c_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad c_{\pm(2k-1)} = -\frac{2}{\pi(2k-1)^2}, \quad c_{\pm 2k} = 0.$$

We know that $\{\psi_k\}$ is a complete orthonormal system because of Fejér's theorem, that any continuous function can be uniformly approximated by trig polynomials, hence also in the \mathcal{L}^2 sense. It follows that Parseval's formula holds

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 = \|\psi\|^2.$$

However, we also know that the norm of the projection

$$\|P_n \varphi\|^2 = \|S_n \varphi\|^2 = \sum_{-n}^n |c_k|^2$$

Using the orthogonality of $P_n \varphi$ and $\varphi - P_n \varphi$ we see that

$$\|\varphi - P_n \varphi\|^2 = \|\varphi\|^2 - \|P_n \varphi\|^2 = \sum_{|k|>n} |c_k|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

5. *Another summability kernel for Fourier Series, due to Dunham Jackson is*

$$J_n(t) = \frac{3}{2(n+1)(2n^2+4n+3)} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{(n+1)t}{2}}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right)^4 = \sum_{k=-2n}^{2n} a_{2n,k} e^{ikt}$$

which can be expressed as a trigonometric sum of degree $2n$.

J_n has the three properties of an approximate identity on $[-\pi, \pi]$. State the third property and show that J_N satisfies it.

(a) $J_n(x) \geq 0$.

(b) $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} J_n(x) dx = 2\pi.$

(c) $\int_{\delta \leq |x| \leq \pi} J_n(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty.$

Because $\sin t/2$ is increasing, for $\delta \leq |x| \leq \pi,$

$$J_n(x) \leq \frac{3}{2(n+1)(2n^2+4n+3) \sin^4\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}$$

so

$$0 \leq \int_{\delta \leq |x| \leq \pi} J_n(x) dx \leq \frac{3\pi}{(n+1)(2n^2+4n+3) \sin^4\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Show using J_n that there if f is a continuous 2π -periodic function, then there is a sequence of trigonometric polynomials such that

$$\|f - p_n\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Because $J_n(t)$ is trigonometric, the convolution

$$p_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} J_n(x-t) f(t) dt$$

is a trigonometric polynomial of degree $2n$. Also, f is uniformly continuous at all x so for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ so that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{whenever } x, y \in \mathbf{R} \text{ satisfy } |x - y| < \delta.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we let $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ as above take N so large that

$$\int_{\delta \leq |x| \leq \pi} J_n(x) dx < \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{2\|f\|_{\infty} + 1} \quad \text{whenever } n \geq N.$$

Now if $n \geq N$ we estimate at any x using (b) and (c),

$$\begin{aligned} |p_n(x) - f(x)| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [f(x-t) - f(x)] J_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x-t) - f(x)| J_n(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|t| \leq \delta} |f(x-t) - f(x)| J_n(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi} |f(x-t) - f(x)| J_n(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \int_{|t| \leq \delta} J_n(t) dt + \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{\pi} \int_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi} J_n(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} J_n(t) dt + \frac{\varepsilon\|f\|_{\infty}}{2\|f\|_{\infty} + 1} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $p_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty.$