

1. Let the partial sum $S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(\sin kx)}{2^k}$. Show that the limit exists: $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n \left(\frac{\pi n}{2n+1} \right)$.

Note that

$$\left| \frac{(\sin kx)}{2^k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = 1 < \infty.$$

So, by the Weierstrass M-test, the sum converges uniformly

$$S_n(x) \Rightarrow S(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sin kx)}{2^k}.$$

As a uniform limit of continuous $S_n(x)$, we have $S(x)$ is continuous.

To see that the limit exists, choose $\varepsilon > 0$. By the continuity of $S(x)$ at $\pi/2$, there is a $\delta > 0$ so that

$$\left| S(x) - S\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{whenever } |x - \frac{\pi}{2}| < \delta.$$

Let

$$x_n = \frac{\pi n}{2n+1}.$$

Since $x_n \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there is N_1 such that $|x_n - \frac{\pi}{2}| < \delta$ whenever $n \geq N_1$.

By uniform convergence, there is an $N_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$|S_m(x) - S(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{whenever } x \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and } n \geq N_2.$$

Let $N_3 = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. For any $n \geq N_3$,

$$\left| S_n(x_n) - S\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right| \leq |S_n(x_n) - S(x_n)| + \left| S(x_n) - S\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

This proves that

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n \left(\frac{\pi n}{2n+1} \right) = S \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{2^{2j-1}} = \frac{2}{5}.$$

2. State the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem for subsets $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$.

Let $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{C}[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ be defined by $\mathcal{T}[f](x) = \int_0^1 \sin(x-t) f(t) dt$.

For $M \in \mathbf{R}$, let $\mathcal{B} = \{f \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1] : \|f\|_{\infty} \leq M\}$. Show that every sequence $(g_n) \subset \mathcal{T}[\mathcal{B}]$ has a convergent subsequence.

Arzela-Ascoli Theorem. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{C}[X]$ where X is a compact metric space. Then \mathcal{S} is compact if and only if it is closed, uniformly bounded and equicontinuous.

For us, $X = [0, 1]$. Choose $f_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $g_n = \mathcal{T}[f_n]$. Then for any x

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(x)| &= |\mathcal{T}[f_n](x)| = \left| \int_0^1 \sin(x-t) f_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |\sin(x-t)| |f_n(t)| dt \leq \int_0^1 \|f_n\| dt \leq \int_0^1 M dt = M. \end{aligned}$$

Since this applies to all n and x , we see that (g_n) is uniformly bounded.

For any $x, y \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(x) - g_n(y)| &= |\mathcal{T}[f_n](x) - \mathcal{T}[f_n](y)| = \left| \int_0^1 \sin(x-t) f_n(t) dt - \int_0^1 \sin(y-t) f_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |\sin(x-t) - \sin(y-t)| |f_n(t)| dt \leq \int_0^1 |x-y| \|f_n\| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |x-y| M dt = M|x-y|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $|\sin(x-t) - \sin(y-t)| \leq |x-y|$. Since this applies to all n, x and y , we see that (g_n) are uniformly M -Lipschitz, thus uniformly equicontinuous.

By the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, uniformly bounded and equicontinuous sequences (g_n) have a convergent subsequence in $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$.

It turns out that $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{B}]$ is not closed.

3. Determine whether the following statements are true or false. If true, give a proof. If false, give a counterexample.

- (a) STATEMENT: The n th Bernstein operator $\mathcal{B}_n[f](x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$ is a bounded operator $\mathcal{B}_n : \mathcal{C}[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$.

TRUE. For $f \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ and any x we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_n[f](x)| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \|f\| \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = \|f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over x , $\|\mathcal{B}_n[f]\| \leq \|f\|$. This is true for all f so the operator is bounded and its operator norm $\|\mathcal{B}_n\| \leq 1$. In fact the operator norm equals one because $\mathcal{B}_n[\text{const.}](x) = \text{const.}$

- (b) STATEMENT: $\|\bullet\|_1$ and $\|\bullet\|_2$ are equivalent norms on \mathbf{R}^n .

TRUE. There are positive constants c_1, c_2 such that $c_1 \|\bullet\|_1 \leq \|\bullet\|_2 \leq c_2 \|\bullet\|_1$. To see this, from the definitions of norms for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and the Schwartz Inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| = \sum_{k=1}^n 1 \cdot |x_k| \leq \|(1, 1, \dots, 1)\|_2 \|x\|_2 = \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2; \\ \|x\|_2^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \right)^2 = \|x\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the norms are equivalent with $c_1 = 1/\sqrt{n}$ and $c_2 = 1$.

(c) STATEMENT: F_σ sets are closed.

FALSE. Countable unions of closed sets need not be closed, for example

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[0, \frac{n}{n+1}\right] = [0, 1).$$

4. State the Stone Weierstrass Theorem for real functions of $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$. Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \cos(kx) : c_k \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$$

be the set of cosine polynomials. Show that \mathcal{H} is an algebra. Is \mathcal{H} dense in $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$? Is \mathcal{H} dense in $\mathcal{C}[-1, 1]$?

Stone Weierstrass Theorem for Real Scalars. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}[X]$ be a subalgebra of the real valued continuous functions on a compact metric space X . If \mathcal{A} separates points in X and vanishes at no point of X , then \mathcal{A} is dense in $\mathcal{C}[X]$.

For us $X = [0, 1]$ or $[-1, 1]$. To show that \mathcal{H} is an algebra, we must first show that it is a vector subspace. It suffices to show that \mathcal{H} is closed under linear combinations. If $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \cos(kx),$$

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m d_k \cos(kx),$$

where c_k and d_k are real. For convenience, put $c_k = 0$ for $k > n$, $d_k = 0$ for $k > m$ and $\ell = \max\{n, m\}$. Then

$$\alpha f + \beta g = \alpha \left(\sum_{k=0}^n c_k \cos(kx) \right) + \beta \left(\sum_{k=0}^m d_k \cos(kx) \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (\alpha c_k + \beta d_k) \cos kx$$

is a cosine polynomial. Second, we must show products of cosine polynomials are cosine polynomials.

$$fg = \left(\sum_{k=0}^n c_k \cos(kx) \right) \left(\sum_{p=0}^m d_p \cos(px) \right) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{p=0}^m c_k d_p \cos(kx) \cos(px)$$

We can express the product of cosines as a sum using a trig identity.

$$\cos(k+p)x = \cos kx \cos px - \sin kx \sin px$$

$$\cos(p-k)x = \cos kx \cos px + \sin kx \sin px$$

thus, adding,

$$\cos kx \cos px = \frac{1}{2} (\cos(k+p)x + \cos(p-k)x).$$

Thus, the cosine polynomials are closed under linear combinations and products, *i.e.*, \mathcal{H} is a subalgebra.

$1 \in \mathcal{H}$ so \mathcal{H} does not vanish at a point. If $X = [0, 1]$ then $\cos x$ is strictly decreasing, so for any $x \neq y$ in $[0, 1]$, $\cos x \neq \cos y$ so it separates points. By the Stone Weierstrass Theorem, \mathcal{H} is dense in $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$.

The functions of \mathcal{H} are even, so they don't separate points of $\mathcal{C}[-1, 1]$ because $f(-1) = f(1)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. \mathcal{H} is not dense in $\mathcal{C}[-1, 1]$. Indeed x can't be approximated because $\|x - f\|_\infty \geq \max\{|-1 - f(-1)|, |1 - f(1)|\} = \max\{|-1 - f(1)|, |f(1) - 1|\} \geq \frac{1}{2}(|-1 - f(1)| + |f(1) - 1|) \geq \frac{1}{2}|-1 - 1| = 1$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

5. Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$. Define the Lebesgue outer measure $m^*(E)$. Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$. Define what it means for E to be Lebesgue measurable. Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ be a null set $m^*(E) = 0$ and $S \subset E$. Show that S is Lebesgue measurable. (You may assume m^* is countably subadditive.)

For a set $E \in \mathbf{R}$, the Lebesgue Outer Measure is

$$m^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_k) : E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \right\}$$

where the infimum is taken over all coverings of E by countable unions of intervals and $\ell(I_k)$ denotes the length of the interval I_k .

A set $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ is *Lebesgue Measurable* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a closed set F and an open G with $F \subset E \subset G$ such that $m^*(G \setminus F) < \varepsilon$.

To show that S is measurable, let's take $F = \emptyset$. Since $m^*(E) = 0$, by the definition of outer measure, there are intervals I_k with endpoints $\alpha_k \leq \beta_k$ such that $E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_k) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let J_k be a slightly larger open interval

$$J_k = \left(\alpha_k - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+2}}, \beta_k + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+2}} \right).$$

Then $I_k \subset J_k$ and $\ell(J_k) = \ell(I_k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}}$. Then the union $G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k$ is an open set such that $F = \emptyset \subset S \subset E \subset G$. By the definition of outer measure

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(G \setminus F) &= m^*(G) = m^* \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k \right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell(J_k) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\ell(I_k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} \right] = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_k) \right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus S is measurable.